

MANOR CLOSE AND MANOR WAY, RUISLIP - PETITION REQUESTING 'AT ANY TIME' WAITING RESTRICTIONS.

Cabinet Member

Councillor Keith Burrows

Cabinet Portfolio

Cabinet Member for Planning, Transportation and Recycling

Officer Contact

Danielle Watson
Planning, Environment, Education and Community Services

Papers with report

Appendix A

HEADLINE INFORMATION

Purpose of report

To advise the Cabinet Member that a petition has been received from the majority of households living in Manor Close, Ruislip asking for 'At any time' waiting restrictions on the junction of Manor Close and Manor Way.

Contribution to our plans and strategies

The request can be considered as part of the Council's Road Safety Programme.

Financial Cost

There are none associated with the recommendations to this report.

Relevant Policy Overview Committee

Residents' and Environmental Services

Ward(s) affected

West Ruislip

RECOMMENDATION

That the Cabinet Member;

1. **Meets and discusses with petitioners their request for the installation of 'At any time' waiting restrictions on the junction of Manor Way and Manor Close.**
2. **Subject to the outcome of the discussions with petitioners asks officers to include the request as part of the Council's Road Safety Programme.**

INFORMATION

Reasons for recommendation

The residents have made a specific request for 'At any time' waiting restrictions.

Alternative options considered

None at this stage.

Comments of Policy Overview Committee(s)

None at this stage.

Supporting Information

1. A petition with 17 signatures has been received from the majority of residents living in Manor Close, Ruislip road the following heading:

“We the undersigned believe a parking restriction, preferably double yellow lines, needs to be introduced at the junction between Manor Close and Manor Way to prevent a serious accident occurring due to the lack of reasonable sight line for drivers in both Manor Way and Manor Close”.

The petition contained less than the minimum of 20 signatures required to automatically qualify for a petition hearing; but a local Ward Councillor requested that the petition be heard by the Cabinet Member.

2. Manor Close is a small cul-de-sac just off Manor Way, Ruislip. The location is indicated on the plan attached as Appendix A to this report.

3. In a covering letter to the petition it is pointed out that visibility is restricted for drivers when vehicles are parked close to the junction of Manor Close and Manor Way.

4. The request would appear justified and it is therefore recommended that the Cabinet Member discusses with the petitioners their concerns with parking and asks officers to include the request as part of the Road Safety Programme.

Financial Implications

There are none associated with the recommendations to this report, however should statutory consultation take place implementation of the proposed waiting restrictions is estimated to cost £800 which can be funded from an allocation from the Council's Road Safety Programme, subject to the normal release protocols.

EFFECT ON RESIDENTS, SERVICE USERS & COMMUNITIES

What will be the effect of the recommendation?

To allow the Cabinet Member to discuss in detail with petitioners their concerns with parking on the junction of Manor Close and Manor Way, Ruislip.

CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS

Legal

The Council's power to make orders imposing waiting restrictions are set out in Part 1 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984. Following consultation with petitioners and further officer investigation, if it is deemed necessary to implement the restriction, the relevant consultation and order making statutory procedures must be followed in this case as set out in The Local Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedures) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996 (SI 1996/2489).

Section 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 means that the Council must balance the concerns of the objectors with the statutory duty to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic.

Decision makers must ensure there is a full consideration of all representations arising including those which do not accord with the officer recommendation, where relevant. The decision maker must be satisfied that responses from the public were conscientiously taken into account.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

Petition received – 15th February 2011